

Triples of infinite iterations of hyperspaces of max-plus compact convex sets

A. Savchenko, M. Zarichnyi

Abstract. Geometry of the infinite iterated hyperspace of compact max-plus convex sets, their completions and compactifications is investigated.

1. INTRODUCTION

In their paper [10] H. Toruńczyk and J. West investigated the construction of the iterated hyperspace functor. For a compact metric space X , this construction leads to the metric direct limit X' of the sequence

$$X \rightarrow \exp X \rightarrow \exp^2 X \rightarrow \dots,$$

where every map is the singleton embedding $x \mapsto \{x\}$. In particular, they proved that, for any Peano continuum X , the completion X^* of X' is homeomorphic to the separable Hilbert space ℓ^2 .

The paper [14] is devoted to the construction of iterated superextension (the superextension functor was defined by J. de Groot [3]). It turned out that the completed infinite iterated superextension admits a natural compactification, which is the inverse limit of iterated superextensions. This result was considerably generalized by V. V. Fedorchuk [4]. He introduced the notion of perfectly metrizable functor and described the topology of obtained triples comprised of infinite iterations, their completions, and compactifications by means of inverse systems.

As a partial case, Fedorchuk considered the probability measure functor P . The direct and inverse sequences of iterated spaces of probability measures were also considered in [11], [12]. R. Mirzakhanyan [7], [8] investigated the case of the inclusion hyperspace functor.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 52A30, 54B20, 57N20

Keywords: max-plus convex set, hyperspace, Hilbert cube

In [2] Ta Khac Cu proved counterparts of the results from [10] for the case of hyperspace of compact convex subsets in normed spaces.

The aim of this note is to extend results of [2] onto the case of the so-called max-plus convexity (see the definition below).

The authors are indebted to the referee for her/his valuable comments.

2. PRELIMINARIES

All spaces are assumed to be metrizable topological spaces. Let (X, d) be a metric space. By $\exp X$ we denote the hyperspace of a space X , i.e., the set of all nonempty compact subsets in X endowed with the Hausdorff metric d_H :

$$d_H(A, B) = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 \mid A \subset O_\varepsilon(B), B \subset O_\varepsilon(A)\}.$$

The Hausdorff metric on $\exp^2 X = \exp \exp X$ induced by the (Hausdorff) metric d_H will be denoted by d_{HH} .

By $Q = [0, 1]^\omega$ we denote the Hilbert cube. A closed set A in Q is called a *Z-set* in Q if the identity map of Q can be approximated by maps whose images miss A . A subset $A \subset Q$ is called a *Z-skeletoid* [1] if $A = \cup_{i=1}^\infty A_i$, where $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots$ is a sequence of *Z-sets* satisfying the condition: for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a *Z-set* $C \subset Q$ there exist $m > n$ and an autohomeomorphism $\psi_\varepsilon: Q \rightarrow Q$ such that

- (1) $d(\psi_\varepsilon, \text{id}) < \varepsilon$;
- (2) $\psi_\varepsilon|_{C \cap A_n} = \text{id}$;
- (3) $\psi_\varepsilon(C) \subset A_m$.

(here d denotes a fixed compatible metric on Q). See [1] for the necessary properties of *Z-skeletoids* in Q .

Recall that a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called *soft* [9] provided that for every commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Z & \xrightarrow{\psi} & Y \end{array}$$

such that Z is a paracompact space and A is a closed subset of Z there exists a map $\Phi: Z \rightarrow X$ such that $f \circ \Phi = \psi$ and $\Phi|_A = \varphi$.

Let $\mathbb{R}_{\max} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ and let τ be a cardinal number. Given $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^\tau$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $x \oplus y$ the coordinate wise maximum of x and y and by $\lambda \odot x$ the vector obtained from x by adding λ to every its coordinate. A subset A in \mathbb{R}^τ is said to be *max-plus convex* if $\alpha \odot a \oplus \beta \odot b \in A$ for all $a, b \in A$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}_{\max}$ with $\alpha \oplus \beta = 0$. See, e.g., [6] for the history and applications of max-plus convexity.

A *max-plus convex body* in \mathbb{R}^n is a max-plus convex set in \mathbb{R}^n which is the closure of its interior.

The hyperspace of all compact max-plus convex subsets of $X \subset \mathbb{R}^r$ is denoted by $\text{mpcc}(X)$.

Remark that there is a natural max-plus (respectively, max-min) convex structure on the hyperspace $\text{mpcc}(X)$, where X is a max-plus (respectively max-min) convex compact subset of \mathbb{R}^α , $1 \leq \alpha \leq \omega$.

Given a subset \mathcal{A} of the hyperspace $\text{mpcc}(X)$, we say that \mathcal{A} is *max-plus convex* if, for every $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and every $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in [-\infty, 0]$ with $\oplus_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 0$, we have

$$\oplus_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \odot A_i = \{\oplus_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \odot a_i \mid a_i \in A_i, i = 1, \dots, n\} \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Remark that the set $\oplus_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \odot A_i$ is easily seen to be an element of the hyperspace $\text{mpcc}(X)$. We denote by $\text{mpcc}^2(X)$ the set of nonempty closed max-plus convex subsets in $\text{mpcc}(X)$.

One can similarly define the iterations $\text{mpcc}^m(X)$, $m \geq 3$.

3. INFINITE ITERATED HYPERSPACES

Let $\text{mpcc}^2(X)$ denote the set of all nonempty closed convex subsets in $\text{mpcc}(X)$, where X is a compact max-plus convex subspace in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 1$. We endow \mathbb{R}^n with the ℓ_∞ -metric: if

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

then $d(x, y) = \max_i |x_i - y_i|$. Note that the union map

$$u_X: \text{mpcc}^2(X) \rightarrow \text{mpcc}(X)$$

is well-defined. Indeed, if $\mathcal{A} \in \text{mpcc}(X)$ and for any $a, b \in u_X(\mathcal{A})$ there are $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Since \mathcal{A} is max-plus convex, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}_{\max}$ with $\alpha \oplus \beta = 0$ we have $\alpha \odot A \oplus \beta \odot B \in \mathcal{A}$ and therefore $\alpha \odot a \oplus \beta \odot b \in u_X(\mathcal{A})$.

Lemma 3.1. *For every $a \in X$ and $\mathcal{A} \in \text{mpcc}(X)$,*

$$d_{\text{HH}}(\{\{a\}\}, \mathcal{A}) = d_{\text{H}}(\{a\}, u_X(\mathcal{A})).$$

Proof. First,

$$d_{\text{HH}}(\{\{a\}\}, \mathcal{A}) = \sup\{d_{\text{H}}(\{a\}, A) \mid A \in u_X(\mathcal{A})\} \leq d_{\text{H}}(\{a\}, u_X(\mathcal{A})).$$

On the other hand, if $d_{\text{HH}}(\{\{a\}\}, \mathcal{A}) < r$, then $O_r(a) \supset B$, for every $B \in \mathcal{A}$. Therefore, $O_r(a) \supset u_X(\mathcal{A})$ and thus $d_{\text{H}}(\{a\}, u_X(\mathcal{A})) < r$. This proves the reverse inequality. \square

Proposition 3.2. *The map u_X is soft.*

Proof. First we show that $u_X^{-1}(A)$ is max-plus convex for any $A \in \text{mpcc}(X)$. If $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \in u_X^{-1}(A)$ and $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{\max}$ with $\beta \oplus \gamma = 0$, then we have

$$\beta \odot \mathcal{B} \oplus \gamma \odot \mathcal{C} = \{\beta \odot B \oplus \gamma \odot C \mid B \in \mathcal{B}, C \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

Given $x \in \beta \odot B \oplus \gamma \odot C \in \beta \odot \mathcal{B} \oplus \gamma \odot \mathcal{C}$, we see that there are $b \in B$ and $c \in C$ such that $x = \beta \odot b \oplus \gamma \odot c$. Since $b, c \in A$, we conclude that $u_X(\beta \odot \mathcal{B} \oplus \gamma \odot \mathcal{C}) \subset A$.

Now, if $x \in A$, then there $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $x \in B \cap C$. Then

$$x \in \beta \odot B \oplus \gamma \odot C \in \beta \odot \mathcal{B} \oplus \gamma \odot \mathcal{C}.$$

Thus, $u_X(\beta \odot \mathcal{B} \oplus \gamma \odot \mathcal{C}) \supset A$, i.e. finally $u_X(\beta \odot \mathcal{B} \oplus \gamma \odot \mathcal{C}) = A$.

We are going to prove that the map u_X is open. Since the spaces under consideration are compact and metrizable, it suffices to prove that for any $\mathcal{A} \in \text{mpcc}^2(X)$ and any sequence (A_i) in $\text{mpcc}(X)$ converging to

$$A = u_X(\mathcal{A})$$

there exists a sequence (\mathcal{A}_i) in $\text{mpcc}^2(X)$ converging to \mathcal{A} and such that $u_X(\mathcal{A}_i) = A_i$, for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ (see, e.g., [5]).

For any i , let $r_i = d_H(A, A_i)$ and let

$$\mathcal{A}_i = \overline{\text{conv}}_{\text{mp}}(\{A_i \cap \bar{O}_{r_i}(C) \mid C \in \mathcal{A}\})$$

(by $\overline{\text{conv}}_{\text{mp}}$ we denote the closed max-plus convex hull map). Since the map $K \mapsto \bar{O}_{r_i}(K)$ is continuous, we conclude that

$$\{A_i \cap \bar{O}_{r_i}(C) \mid C \in \mathcal{A}\} \in \text{exp mpcc}(X).$$

It is easy to see that $d_{\text{HH}}(\{A_i \cap \bar{O}_{r_i}(C) \mid C \in \mathcal{A}\}, \mathcal{A}) \leq r_i$ and, since the closed max-plus convex hull map is nonexpanding, we obtain that $d_{\text{HH}}(\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{A}) \leq r_i$.

Now, by [13, Theorem 3.3] the map u_X is soft as an open map with max-plus convex preimages. \square

Given a compact convex set X consider the following sequence:

$$X \xrightarrow{s_X} \text{mpcc}(X) \xrightarrow{s_{\text{mpcc}(X)}} \text{mpcc}^2(X) \xrightarrow{s_{\text{mpcc}^2(X)}} \dots$$

Note that every map in this sequence is an isometric embedding. We denote the metric direct limit of this sequence by $\text{mpcc}^+(X)$ and let $\text{mpcc}^{++}(X)$ be the completion of $\text{mpcc}^+(X)$. In the sequel, we identify the spaces $\text{mpcc}^n(X)$ with the corresponding subspaces of $\text{mpcc}^+(X)$ and $\text{mpcc}^{++}(X)$.

Denote by $\text{mpcc}^\omega(X)$ the inverse limit of the sequence

$$\text{mpcc}(X) \xleftarrow{u_X} \text{mpcc}^2(X) \xleftarrow{u_{\text{mpcc}^2(X)}} \text{mpcc}^3(X) \xleftarrow{u_{\text{mpcc}^3(X)}} \dots$$

Let $\psi_n: \text{mpcc}^\omega(X) \rightarrow \text{mpcc}^n(X)$ denote the natural projection.

There exists a natural embedding $\theta: \text{mpcc}^+(X) \rightarrow \text{mpcc}^\omega(X)$. The restriction of this embedding onto the set $\text{mpcc}^n(X)$ is uniquely determined by the maps

$$s_{nm} = s_{\text{mpcc}^{m-1}(X)} \cdots s_{\text{mpcc}^n(X)}: \text{mpcc}^n(X) \rightarrow \text{mpcc}^m(X), \quad n < m.$$

We write $\theta = (\theta_n)$, where $\theta_n = \psi_n \theta$.

The following proposition is proved in [4] in general form; in turn, this is a generalization of a result from [14].

Proposition 3.3. *The (unique) extension $\bar{\theta}: \text{mpcc}^{++}(X) \rightarrow \text{mpcc}^\omega(X)$ of the map θ is an embedding.*

Proof. Similarly as in [10, Lemma 3], one can prove that the map $\bar{\theta}$ is injective. We are going to show that the map $\bar{\theta}^{-1}$ is continuous. To this end, for any $x \in \text{mpcc}^{++}(X)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ one should find a neighborhood U of $\bar{\theta}(x)$ in $\text{mpcc}^\omega(X)$ such that

$$\bar{\theta}^{-1}(U) \subset B_\varepsilon(x). \quad (3.1)$$

We write $\bar{\theta} = (\bar{\theta}_i)$, where $\bar{\theta}_i = \psi_i \circ \bar{\theta}$. Again, similarly as in [10, Lemma 3], the sequence $(\bar{\theta}_i(x))$ converges to x and therefore there exists n such that

$$d(\bar{\theta}_k(x), x) < \varepsilon/4 \quad \text{for all } k \geq n. \quad (3.2)$$

Put

$$V = O_{\varepsilon/4}(\bar{\theta}_n(x)) \subset \text{mpcc}^{n+1}(X), \quad U = \psi_{n+1}^{-1}(V).$$

Let us verify the inclusion

$$\text{mpcc}^+(X) \cap \bar{\theta}^{-1}(U) \subset O_{3\varepsilon/4}(x). \quad (3.3)$$

Let $y \in \text{mpcc}^+(X) \cap \bar{\theta}^{-1}(U)$. Then there exists $k \geq n+1$ such that $y \in \text{mpcc}^k(X) \subset \text{mpcc}^+(X)$. Since $y \in \bar{\theta}^{-1}(U)$, we have $\psi_{n+1}(y) \in V$. Since

$$\varepsilon/2 > d(\psi_{n+1}(y), \bar{\theta}_n(x)),$$

from (3.2) and Lemma 3.1 it follows that

$$d(y, x) \leq d(y, \bar{\theta}_n(x)) + d(\bar{\theta}_n(x), x) < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/4 + 3\varepsilon/4.$$

Therefore, the inclusion in (3.3) is verified. Since $\overline{\text{mpcc}^+(X)}$ is dense in $\text{mpcc}^{++}(X)$, from (3.3) we obtain that $\bar{\theta}^{-1}(U) \subset \overline{B_{3\varepsilon/4}(x)} \subset O_\varepsilon(x)$. \square

Let $Q = [-1, 1]^\omega$ be the Hilbert cube, $s = (-1, 1)^\omega$ be its pseudointerior and $\text{rint } Q = \{(x_i) \in Q \mid \sup_i |x_i| < 1\}$ be its radial interior.

Theorem 3.4. *Let X be a compact max-plus convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . Then the triple $(\text{mpcc}^\omega(X), \text{mpcc}^{++}(X), \text{mpcc}^+(X))$ is homeomorphic to the triple $(Q, s, \text{rint } Q)$.*

Proof. Consider the following metric ϱ on $\text{mpcc}^\omega(X)$,

$$\varrho((x_i), (y_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{d(x_i, y_i)}{2^i},$$

where

$$(x_i), (y_i) \in \text{mpcc}^\omega(X) \subset \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{mpcc}^i(X).$$

For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j > i$, the maps

$$q_{ij} = \text{mpcc}(s_{i-1, j-1}): \text{mpcc}^i(X) \rightarrow \text{mpcc}^j(X)$$

determine the map $q_i: \text{mpcc}^i(X) \rightarrow \text{mpcc}^\omega(X)$.

We first show that the pair $(\text{mpcc}^\omega(X), \text{mpcc}^{++}(X))$ is homeomorphic to the pair (Q, s) . By [1, Theorems 2.3 and 3.3, Chapter V], it suffices to prove that the set

$$B = \text{mpcc}^\omega(X) \setminus \text{mpcc}^{++}(X)$$

is a Z -skeletaloid in $\text{mpcc}^\omega(X)$. Note first that the set B is σ -compact as the complement to the topologically complete set $\text{mpcc}^{++}(X)$. Note also that every compact subset $K \subset B$ is a Z -set in $\text{mpcc}^\omega(X)$. Indeed, the sequence of retractions $\psi_n: \text{mpcc}^\omega(X) \rightarrow \text{mpcc}^n(X)$ converges uniformly to the identity map of $\text{mpcc}^\omega(X)$ and the image of every ψ_n misses K . By [1, Theorems 3.2, Chapter V], in order to show that B is a Z -skeletaloid it suffices to find a Z -skeletaloid in B . In turn, it suffices to find a sequence a sequence (L_i) of compact subspaces in B such that:

- (1) every L_i is homeomorphic to Q ;
- (2) every L_i is a Z -set in Q_{i+1} ;
- (3) for every i there is a retraction $r_i: \text{mpcc}^\omega(X) \rightarrow L_i$ and the sequence (r_i) of retractions uniformly converges to the identity map.

The construction of such a sequence (L_i) is analogous to that in the proof of [4, Theorem 4], therefore we drop the details. We suppose that X is a max-plus convex body in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$. Also, we suppose that $\text{diam } X \leq 1$. Then $\text{diam } \text{mpcc}^\omega(X) \leq 1$.

By K_1 we denote the set

$$\{A \in \text{mpcc}(X) \mid \text{there is } x \in A \text{ such that } x + (\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon) \in A\},$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$. Clearly, K_1 is max-plus convex and if ε is small enough then K_1 is nonempty and can be made as close to $\text{mpcc}(X)$ as we wish. We require that there is a retraction r_1 of $\text{mpcc}(X)$ onto K_1 which is 1-close to the identity. Let $L_1 = q_1(K_1)$.

Assuming that K_i , $i \leq p$, are already constructed we let

$$K_{p+1} = \{A \in \text{mpcc}^p(X) \mid \text{there is } x \in A \text{ such that } x + (\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon) \in A\},$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is chosen small enough that

$$\text{mpcc}(s_{\text{mpcc}^{p-1}(X)})(K_p) \subset K_{p+1}$$

and there is a retraction

$$r_{p+1}: \text{mpcc}^{p+2}(X) \rightarrow K_{p+1}$$

which is 2^{-p} -close to the identity. Let $L_{p+1} = q_{p+1}(K_{p+1})$.

Thus, $L = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} L_i$ is a Z -skeleton in $\text{mpcc}^{\omega}(X)$. We conclude that the pair $(\text{mpcc}^{\omega}(X), \text{mpcc}^{++}(X))$ is homeomorphic to (Q, s) .

Similarly, one can prove that $\text{mpcc}^{+}(X)$ is a Z -skeleton in $\text{mpcc}^{\omega}(X)$. Therefore, the pair $(\text{mpcc}^{\omega}(X), \text{mpcc}^{+}(X))$ is homeomorphic to $(Q, \text{rint } Q)$.

We now apply [4, Theorem 2] to finish the proof. \square

4. REMARKS AND OPEN QUESTIONS

It is plausible that the main result can be extended to the case of all max-plus convex subsets of \mathbb{R}^{α} , $\alpha \leq \omega$, of dimension ≤ 1 .

We also conjecture that there is a counterpart of the main result for the hyperspace of max-min convex sets in \mathbb{R}^{τ} . Given $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\max} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $x = (x_{\alpha}) \in \mathbb{R}^{\tau}$, we define $\lambda \otimes x = (\min\{\lambda, x_{\alpha}\})$. A subset A in \mathbb{R}^{τ} is said to be *max-min convex* if $\alpha \otimes a \oplus b \in A$ for all $a, b \in A$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{\max}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bessaga and A. Pełczyński. *Selected topics in infinite-dimensional topology*, volume 58 of *Monografie Matematyczne*. Warsaw: PWN, 1975.
- [2] Ta Khac Cu. Direct limits which are hilbert spaces. *Acta Math. Vietnam.*, 14(2):67–73, 1989.
- [3] J. de Groot. Superextensions and supercompactness. In *Proc. I. Intern. Symp. on Extension Theory of Topological Structures and its Applications*, pages 89–90. VEB Deutscher Verlag Wiss., Berlin, 1967.
- [4] V. V. Fedorchuk. Triples of infinite iterates of metrizable functors. *Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya*, 36(2):411–433, 1991.
- [5] V. V. Fedorchuk and V. V. Filippov. *General Topology. Basic Constructions [in Russian]*. Izd. Mosk. Univ., Moscow, 1988.
- [6] S. Gaubert and R. Katz. Max-plus convex geometry. In Renate A. Schmidt, editor, *Relations and Kleene Algebra in Computer Science*, volume 4136 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 192–206, 2006.
- [7] R. E. Mirzakhanyan. On infinite iterations of the functor of inclusion hyperspace. *Vestn MGU. Mat., Mekh.*, (6):14–17, 1988.
- [8] R. E. Mirzakhanyan. On the functor of completed iterated inclusion hyperspace. *Vestn MGU. Mat., Mekh.*, (2):75–77, 1989.
- [9] E. V. Shchepin. Functors and uncountable powers of compacta. *Uspekhi mat. nauk*, 36:3–62, 1981.
- [10] H. Toruńczyk and J. West. A hilbert space limit for the iterated hyperspace functor. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 89:329–335, 1983.

- [11] A. M. Vershik. Theory of decreasing sequences of measurable partitions. *St. Petersburg Math. J.*, 6(4):705–761, 1994.
- [12] A. M. Vershik. Kantorovich metric: Initial history and little-known applications. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 133(4):1410–1417, 2006.
- [13] M. Zarichnyi. Michael selection theorem for max-plus compact convex sets. *Topology Proceedings*, 31(2):677–681, 2007.
- [14] M. M. Zarichnyi. *General topology*, volume 167, chapter Iterated superextensions, pages 45–59. Moscow University Press, 1986.

Received: April 10, 2016, accepted: May 18, 2016.

Aleksandr Savchenko

KHERSON STATE AGRARIAN UNIVERSITY, 23 ROZY LIUKSEMBURG STR., 73006 KHERSON,
UKRAINE

Email: `savchenko1960@rambler.ru`

Mykhailo Zarichnyi

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, LVIV NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, UNIVER-
SYTETSKA STR. 1, 79000 LVIV, UKRAINE

Email: `mzar@litech.lviv.ua`