<u>S</u>ubmit

Search Options
» Sign up / Log in

English

Academic edition

Skip to: Main content Side column

- Home
- Contact Us

Find out how to access preview-only content

<u>Ukrainian Mathematical Journal</u>

March-April, 1983, Volume 35, <u>Issue 2</u>, pp 192-194

# Favard's method of summation of series

• V. I. Kuz'mich

Buy now

\$39.95 / €34.95 / £29.95 \*

\* Final gross prices may vary according to local VAT.

#### **Get Access**

Translated from Ukrainskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal, Vol. 35, No. 2, pp. 225–227, March-April, 1983.



# when for the Siddle integral $\int \log \Phi(u) \, du$ is an ora withy are this the medition of operating of the 10 for the the fit (eight of an ellipsis) of the fit (eight of an ellipsis) of the fitted of t

#### Other actions

- Export citation
- Register for Journal Updates
- About This Journal
- Reprints and Permissions
- Add to Papers

#### Share

Share this content on Facebook Share this content on Twitter Share this content on LinkedIn

## **Supplementary Material (0)**

## References (4)

#### **About this Article**

Over 8.5 million scientific documents at your fingertips Browse by Discipline

#### **Our Content**

- Journals
- Books
- Book Series
- Protocols
- Reference Works

#### **Other Sites**

- Springer.com
- SpringerProtocols
- SpringerMaterials

# **Help & Contacts**

- Contact Us
- Feedback Community
- Impressum

© Springer International Publishing AG, Part of Springer Science+Business Media<u>Privacy</u> Policy, Disclaimer, General Terms & Conditions

Not logged in Unaffiliated 78.25.50.63

sums for the Stieltjes integral  $\int F(\tau) \, dF(\tau)$  , one can easily see that the condition of con-

tinuity of  $F(\tau)$  from the left (right) at all points of the interval [s, t] considered in [4] immediately implies the uniqueness of the limit in  $\{Y_S^t(\Delta_n)\}$  independently of the sequence of partitions  $\{\Delta_n\}$ .

Remark 3. In view of Remark 2, one can show, similarly to the proof of the theorem, that for functions f(x) and g(x) of bounded variation on [s, t] continuous from the right or from the left simultaneously at points where they both have leaps, there exists a Stieltjes integral  $\int_{0}^{t} f(\tau) dg(\tau)$ .

Remark 4. Similarly to the theorem proved above, one can show, using methods of [1], that the mapping D is continuous in the norm 1.16.

- G. P. Butsan, Stochastic Semigroups [in Russian], Naukova Dumka, Kiev (1977).
  G. P. Butsan, "Stochastic semigroups," Author's Abstract of Doctoral Dissertation, Kiev (1977).
- G. P. Butsan and S. P. Butsan, "Nonuniform stochastic semigroups," Ukr. Mat. Zh., 33, No. 4, 437-443 (1981).
- A. S. Chani, "Stochastic semigroups with independent increments," in: Theory of Random Processes [in Russian], No. 9, Kiev (1981), pp. 109-115.

FAVARD'S METHOD OF SUMMATION OF SERIES

UDC 517.52 V. I. Kuz'mich

Favard's method is defined by the transformation

$$t_n = u_0 - \sum_{k=1}^n u_k \frac{k\pi}{2(n+1)} \operatorname{ctg} \frac{k\pi}{2(n+1)}, \quad n > 0, \ t_0 = u_0,$$

where

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n \tag{1}$$

is some real series.

One says that (1) is summable by Favard's method to the number U, if  $t_n \to U$ ,  $n \to \infty$ . Now if  $\sum |t_{k+1}-t_k| \to U$ ,  $n \to \infty$ , then (1) is absolutely summable by Favard's method to the number

In this paper it is shown that Favard's method is equivalent and absolutely equivalent with the method of arithmetic means (the first-order Cesaro method).

In [1] there is defined a general class of matrix methods of summation of series. Here we consider only some of them.

Suppose there is defined on the interval [ $\alpha$ , b] a function  $\phi(x)$ . The transformation

$$t_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} u_k \varphi \left( a + \frac{k}{n} (b - a) \right), \quad n > 0, \quad t_0 = u_0 \varphi(a)$$
 (2)

Kherson Pedagogic Institute. Translated from Ukrainskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal, Vol. 35, No. 2, pp. 225-227, March-April, 1983. Original article submitted October 6, 1981.

defines a method of summation, which we denote by  $\phi$ [(b -  $\alpha$ )/n]. Special cases of it are: Favard's method for  $\alpha$  = 0, b =  $\pi$ /2,  $\phi$ (x) = x cot x; Rogosinsky's method for  $\alpha$  = 0, b =  $\pi$ /2,  $\phi$ (x) = cos x; the method of arithmetic means for  $\alpha$  = 0, b = 1,  $\phi$ (x) = 1 - x.

<u>LEMMA 1.</u> If the lower triangular regular matrix A =  $(a_{nk})$  of a transformation of a sequence into a sequence satisfies the conditions: 1)  $a_{nn} = O(1/n)$ , 2)  $a_{nk} \le a_{n,k+1}$ ,  $0 \le k < n$  for  $n > n_0$ , then the method of summation defined by this matrix is equivalent with the method of arithmetic means and they are compatible [2].

LEMMA 2. If the normal absolutely regular matrix B =  $(b_{nk})$  of a transformation of series into series satisfies the conditions: 1)  $b_{nn} = O(1/n)$ , 2)  $b_{nk}/k \leqslant b_{n,k+1}/(k+1)$ ,  $k_0 \leqslant k < n$ , then the method of summation defined by this matrix is absolutely equivalent with the method of arithmetic means and they are absolutely compatible.

Lemma 2 is a simple consequence of Theorem 2 of [3].

THEOREM. If the function  $\varphi(x)$  has a finite second derivative everywhere in [a, b], and  $\varphi''(x) \leq 0$ ,  $\varphi(a) = 1$ ,  $\varphi(b) = 0$ , then the method  $\varphi(b-a)/n$  is equivalent and absolutely equivalent with the method of arithmetic means. Moreover, they are compatible and absolutely compatible.

Proof. First we shall show that the method indicated satisfies the conditions of Lemma

 Proof.

From the conditions of the theorem it follows that  $\phi(x)$  has bounded first derivative everywhere on [a, b] and hence satisfies a Lipschitz condition. Since  $\phi(a) = 1$ , this implies the regularity of the method  $\phi(b-a)/n$  [1]. Moreover,

$$\sup_{x \in \{a,b\}} \frac{\varphi(x)}{b - x} = \sup_{x \in \{a,b\}} \left| \frac{\varphi(b) - \varphi(x)}{b - x} \right| < \infty. \tag{3}$$

For the method  $\Phi(b-a)/n$   $a_{nk}=\varphi\left(a+\frac{k}{n+1}(b-a)\right)-\varphi\left(a+\frac{k+1}{n+1}(b-a)\right),\ 0\leqslant k\leqslant n,\ a_{nk}=0,\ 0\leqslant n< k.$  Whence, using (3), we get

$$a_{nn} = \varphi\left(a + \frac{n}{n+1}(b-a)\right) = \frac{b-a}{n+1} \frac{\varphi\left(a + \frac{n}{n+1}(b-a)\right)}{b - \left(a + \frac{n}{n+1}(b-a)\right)} = O(1/n),$$

i.e., condition 1) of Lemma 1 holds.

Further, we have 
$$a_{nk} - a_{n,k+1} = \phi \left( a + \frac{k}{n+1} \left( b - a \right) \right) - 2\phi \left( a + \frac{k+1}{n+1} \left( b - a \right) \right) + \phi \left( a + \frac{k+2}{n+1} \left( b - a \right) \right)$$

 $0 \leqslant k < n, \ n > n_0$ . It follows from the conditions of the theorem that  $\phi(x)$  is a function that is convex upward on [a, b], so  $a_{nk} - a_{n,k+1} \leqslant 0$ ,  $0 \leqslant k < n, \ n > n_0$ , and condition 2) of Lemma 1 holds. Hence the method  $\Phi(b-a)/n$  is equivalent with the method of arithmetic means and they are compatible.

We shall show that the method  $\phi(b-a)/n$  satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2. For it  $b_{\mathrm{nk}} = \phi\Big(a + \frac{k}{n+1}(b-a)\Big) - \phi\Big(a + \frac{k}{n}(b-a)\Big), \ 0 \leqslant k \leqslant n, \ n > 0, \ b_{00} = \phi(a) = 1, \ b_{\mathrm{nk}} = 0, \ 0 \leqslant n \leqslant k.$ 

In order that the matrix B =  $(b_{nk})$  be absolutely regular, by a familiar theorem of Knopp-Lorentz it is necessary and sufficient that one have the following conditions:

a) 
$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |b_{nk}| = O(1)$$
, b)  $\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} b_{nk} = 1$ ,  $k \ge 0$ .

Since  $\phi(x)$  satisfies a Lipschitz condition on the interval  $[\alpha, b]$ , one has

$$|b_{nk}| \le M\left(a + \frac{k}{n}(b-a) - a - \frac{k}{n+1}(b-a)\right) = M(b-a)\frac{k}{n(n+1)},$$
 (4)

where M is a constant, independent of n and k. It follows from (4) that condition a) holds. Moreover,